

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

8

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR CONFIRMATION NO. APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. 07/13/2001 674556-2001 1580 09/909,173 Kwang-Leong Choy 7590 11/20/2002 FROMMER LAWRENCE & HAUG LLP **EXAMINER** 745 Fifth Avenue PARKER, FREDERICK JOHN New York, NY 10151 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

1762 DATE MAILED: 11/20/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No. 9 [909 1 7 3	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	-1	Group Art Unit	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
-The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet l	beneath th com	espondence address –	
riod for Reply				
SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SEF THIS COMMUNICATION.	T TO EXPIRE	<u>⊯}-</u> MONTH(S) F	ROM THE MAILING DATE	
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by defailure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 	, a reply within the statutory m fault, expire SIX (6) MONTHS statute, cause the application	inimum of thirty (30) from the mailing date to become ABANDO	days will be considered timely. of this communication. DNED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
tatus	_			
X Responsive to communication(s) filed on	7/19/02			
☐ This action is FINAL.				
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance excaccordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1			the merits is closed in	
isposition of Claims				
▼ Claim(s) 1-60,63-65	m(s) $1-60, 63-65$ is/are pending in the ap		ding in the application.	
Of the above claim(s)			 is/are withdrawn from consideration. is/are allowed. is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election 	
□ Claim(s)				
□ Claim(s)				
□ Claim(s)				
Ø Claim(s) (-60, 63-65				
pplication Papers		requireme	nt .	
☐ Th proposed drawing correction, filed on				
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are ob	jected to by the Examine	r		
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.				
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine		BEST A	VAILABLE COP	
ri rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)			WILLDER COP	
$\hfill\square$ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priori	ty under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d).		
☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the:				
☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been	en received.			
☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been	· •	No	· ·	
☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority docum				
in this national stage application from the Internation *Certified copies not received:	•			
tachment(s)			•	
☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper	nformation Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s) ☐ Int rview Summar		ry, PTO-413	
			tice of Informal Pat nt Application, PTO-15	
☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Pat nt Drawing Review, PTO-				
·				
Office	Action Summary			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 11/00)

Part of Paper No.

Art Unit: 1762

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

Election/Restriction

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1-40, drawn to coating method, classified in class 427, subclass 483.
 - II. Claims 41-61, drawn to coating apparatus, classified in class 118, subclass 620+.
 - III. Claims 62,64, drawn to powder fabrication method, classified in class 264, subclass 12.
 - IV. Claims 63,65, drawn to apparatus for powder fabrication, classified in class 239, subclass 79.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons: Inventions I and II are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the apparatus can be used for another and materially different process such as applying etchant liquids, surface treating/roughening, applying reactants, or applying a liquid onto an unheated substrate.
- 3. Inventions III and IV are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the apparatus can be

Application/Control Number: 09/909173

Art Unit: 1762

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

Page 3

used for another and materially different process such as coating, deposition of etchants or reactants, surface treating/ roughening, etc

- 4. Inventions I-II and III-IV are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case formation of a solid powder and application aerosol droplets to a heated substrate have different functions and effects and are therefore unrelated inventions.
- 5. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 6. A telephone call was made to T. Kowalski on 10/17/02 and 11/6/02 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

 Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).
- 7. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(I).

Art Unit: 1762

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

Page 4

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Fred J. Parker whose telephone number is (703) 308-3474.

PRIMARY EXAMINER

Fred J. Parker

November 18, 2002

r9-909173